



# **Aviation Investigation Final Report**

Location: Edgemont, South Dakota Accident Number: CEN25LA105

Date & Time: February 27, 2025, 07:45 Local Registration: N6555C

Aircraft: ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY R44 II Aircraft Damage: None

**Defining Event:** Cabin safety event **Injuries:** 1 Serious, 1 None

Flight Conducted Under: Part 91: General aviation - Aerial observation

### **Analysis**

The purpose of the flight was to survey and capture elk and was operated with the pilot and a non-flight crewmember, the gunner. The gunner's purpose was to tranquilize elk from the maneuvering helicopter as the pilot positioned the helicopter to match the speed of a target elk. The gunner, who was clipped into the helicopter with a safety harness, fell out of the helicopter while conducting the elk capture operation and sustained serious injuries. The pilot landed the helicopter without further incident.

A postaccident examination of the helicopter revealed that the anchor point for the front passenger seat restraint system separated and was still attached to the gunner's harness. Examination of the separation surfaces revealed deformation along the circumference of each end of the tubing.

The gunner was not using the helicopter's passenger seat restraint system when he fell out of the helicopter; rather, he was using a harness restraint system that was anchored to the vertical tube of the helicopter's supplemental shoulder harness guide system.

The pilot stated that the gunner selected and secured the anchor point for the harness before the flight and the gunner stated that the pilot selected and secured the anchor point.

According to the helicopter manufacturer, the anchor point utilized for the harness was not designed to sustain side loads, which resulted in its separation and the gunner falling out of the helicopter.

### **Probable Cause and Findings**

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

The pilot's failure to properly identify the proper anchor point for the supplemental harness and the crew's incorrect use of the restraint system structure as an anchor point, which resulted in the separation of the structure.

### **Findings**

Personnel issues	Identification/recognition - Flight crew
Aircraft	(general) - Incorrect use/operation

Page 2 of 8 CEN25LA105

#### **Factual Information**

#### **History of Flight**

Maneuvering-low-alt flying

Cabin safety event (Defining event)

On February 27, 2025, about 0745 central standard time, a Robinson Helicopter Company, R44 II, N6555C, was involved in an accident near Edgemont, South Dakota. The pilot was not injured and the non-flight crewmember was seriously injured. The helicopter was not damaged. The helicopter was operated as a Title 14 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 91 aerial observation flight.

The purpose of the flight was to survey and capture elk and was operated with the pilot and a non-flight crewmember, the gunner. The gunner's purpose was to tranquilize elk from the maneuvering helicopter as the pilot positioned the helicopter to match the speed of a target elk.

The pilot reported that the helicopter was maneuvering about 30 ft above ground level during an elk capture and surveying flight. The non-flight crewmember, who was seated in the right-rear passenger seat, fell out of the helicopter and was seriously injured. The pilot immediately landed the helicopter without further incident.

According to the helicopter manufacturer, the C421-2 tube (vertical) and the C423-4 upper seatback support tube (horizontal) were part of the optional 5-point seat belt installation available for the front seats (Figure 1). The purpose of the C423-4 upper seatback support tube was to properly position and support the four shoulder harnesses for the front seat occupants. The purpose of the C421-2 tube was to transfer any downward loads applied to the upper seatback support tube to the C423-1 lower seatback support tube in the event of a hard landing. The manufacturer stated that it was not designed to sustain any side loads and does not require periodic maintenance or inspection other than overall condition.

Post-flight examination of the helicopter revealed that the anchor point (vertical tube, C421-2) used to secure the supplemental restraint system to the helicopter separated from the surrounding structure (Figure 2). The hollow vertical tube remained intact but exhibited deformation on the outer circumference of one of its ends. The other end exhibited less deformation to the tube, its plastic cap, and hardware.

Page 3 of 8 CEN25LA105



Figure 1. Airframe restraint system

Page 4 of 8 CEN25LA105



Figure 2. Separated vertical tube

The gunner reported that he secured the helicopter's shoulder restraints by tucking them behind the seat, and the lap belt was buckled and laid flat against the seat to secure it during the flight. He reported that the supplemental restraint system's anchor point is secured to the helicopter by the pilot before each flight in a designated position and that he "clips in" his end of the harness to the anchor point end of the harness before the flight. The gunner stated that the pilot had selected the harness anchor point. It was the same anchor point used on previous flights.

The pilot reported that he briefed the gunner that the helicopter's restraint system, which consisted of seat belts and shoulder harnesses, is the primary restraint and that any supplemental harness is secondary. He stated that he allows the gunner to place the anchor point for the supplemental restraint system at his or her discretion because it is not required by regulation.

Page 5 of 8 CEN25LA105

### **Pilot Information**

Certificate:	Commercial; Flight engineer; Flight instructor	Age:	40,Male
Airplane Rating(s):	None	Seat Occupied:	Right
Other Aircraft Rating(s):	Helicopter	Restraint Used:	5-point
Instrument Rating(s):	None	Second Pilot Present:	No
Instructor Rating(s):	Helicopter	Toxicology Performed:	
Medical Certification:	Class 2 With waivers/limitations	Last FAA Medical Exam:	March 1, 2025
Occupational Pilot:	Yes	Last Flight Review or Equivalent:	September 30, 2024
Flight Time:	(Estimated) 10041 hours (Total, all aircraft), 4041 hours (Total, this make and model), 9791 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 56 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 41 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft), 7 hours (Last 24 hours, all aircraft)		

## **Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information**

Aircraft Make:	ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY	Registration:	N6555C
Model/Series:	R44 II	Aircraft Category:	Helicopter
Year of Manufacture:	2005	Amateur Built:	
Airworthiness Certificate:	Normal	Serial Number:	10965
Landing Gear Type:	None; High skid	Seats:	4
Date/Type of Last Inspection:	January 25, 2025 Annual	Certified Max Gross Wt.:	2500 lbs
Time Since Last Inspection:	41 Hrs	Engines:	1 Reciprocating
Airframe Total Time:	4192.5 Hrs as of last inspection	Engine Manufacturer:	Lycoming
ELT:	C126 installed, not activated	Engine Model/Series:	IO-540-AEA5
Registered Owner:	KIWI AIR LLC	Rated Power:	245 Horsepower
Operator:	KIWI AIR LLC	Operating Certificate(s) Held:	None
Operator Does Business As:		Operator Designator Code:	K69A

Page 6 of 8 CEN25LA105

### Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

Conditions at Accident Site:	Visual (VMC)	Condition of Light:	Day
Observation Facility, Elevation:	KCUT,5564 ft msl	Distance from Accident Site:	24 Nautical Miles
Observation Time:	05:53 Local	Direction from Accident Site:	14°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Clear	Visibility	10 miles
Lowest Ceiling:	None	Visibility (RVR):	
Wind Speed/Gusts:	5 knots / None	Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:	Unknown / None
Wind Direction:	250°	Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:	Unknown / Unknown
Altimeter Setting:	30.2 inches Hg	Temperature/Dew Point:	-1°C / -8°C
Precipitation and Obscuration:	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
Departure Point:	Rapid City, SD	Type of Flight Plan Filed:	None
Destination:	Rapid City, SD	Type of Clearance:	None
Departure Time:	07:00 Local	Type of Airspace:	Class G

## **Airport Information**

Airport:	South Dakota Game, Fish, and Parks NONE	Runway Surface Type:	
Airport Elevation:		<b>Runway Surface Condition:</b>	Unknown
Runway Used:		IFR Approach:	Unknown
Runway Length/Width:		VFR Approach/Landing:	None

## Wreckage and Impact Information

Crew Injuries:	1 None	Aircraft Damage:	None
Passenger Injuries:	1 Serious	Aircraft Fire:	None
Ground Injuries:	N/A	Aircraft Explosion:	None
Total Injuries:	1 Serious, 1 None	Latitude, Longitude:	43.3512,-103.74767(est)

Page 7 of 8 CEN25LA105

#### **Administrative Information**

Investigator In Charge (IIC): Maxon, Cory

Additional Participating Persons: Todd Obritsch; FAA; Rapid City, SD

Original Publish Date: September 3, 2025

Last Revision Date: Investigation Class: Class 3

Note: The NTSB did not travel to the scene of this accident.

Investigation Docket: https://data.ntsb.gov/Docket?ProjectID=199776

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, "accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person" (Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB's statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 United States Code section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 United States Code section 1154(b) is available here.

Page 8 of 8 CEN25LA105